Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	marv :	09/752,422	WILLIAMS ET AL.
Examiner induced interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		AHMED ELALLAM	2662
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>			
(1) <u>AHMED ELALLAM</u> .		(3)	
(2) Attorney Kirk William.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2005		Time: <u>4:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicai 〗No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 23-25, 31-33, and 35-37			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. The separate re	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINED			
Holl (Exame)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated the necessity to reintroduce a deleted limitation from claim 23 to be allowed, Applicant agreed to amend claim 23 accordingly, and similarly amend independent claims 31 and 35. Claims 24, 32 and 36 were also discussed to present them in better form for allowance; the amendment necessitated the cancellation of claims 25, 33 and 37. The changes made by the Examiner, and Agreed upon by the Attorney of records, are shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment. . .